ALASKA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT



ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT WEBSITE RFP240000018

Issued Friday, May 17, 2024

THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMUNITY AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SEEKS PROPOSALS FROM QUALIFIED CONTRACTORS TO DESIGN, DEVELOP, AND IMPLEMENT A PUBLIC-FACING WEBSITE. THE WEBSITE WILL SUPPORT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BY ATTRACTING INVESTORS AND OTHERS LOOKING TO DEVELOP A NEW BUSINESS OR GROW AN EXISTING BUSINESS IN ALASKA.

ISSUED BY:

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE, COMMUNITY, AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DIVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PRIMARY CONTACT:

Robert Roys Procurement Officer robert.roys@alaska.gov (907) 465-2519

OFFERORS ARE NOT REQUIRED TO RETURN THIS FORM.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: IF YOU RECEIVED THIS SOLICITATION FROM THE STATE OF ALASKA'S "ONLINE PUBLIC NOTICE" WEB SITE, YOU MUST REGISTER WITH THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER LISTED IN THIS DOCUMENT TO RECEIVE NOTIFICATION OF SUBSEQUENT AMENDMENTS. FAILURE TO CONTACT THE PROCUREMENT OFFICER MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1.	INTRODUCTION & INSTRUC	CTIONS
4		
SEC. 1.1	PURPOSE OF THE RFP	4
SEC. 1.2	BUDGET	
SEC. 1.3	DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS	4
SEC. 1.4	MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS	4
SEC. 1.5	REQUIRED REVIEW	4
SEC. 1.6	QUESTIONS PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS	4
SEC. 1.7	RETURN INSTRUCTIONS	
SEC. 1.8	ASSISTANCE TO OFFERORS WITH A DISABILITY	5
SEC. 1.9	AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSALS	
SEC. 1.10	AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP	5
SEC. 1.11	RFP SCHEDULE	
SEC. 1.12	PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE	
SEC. 1.13	ALTERNATE PROPOSALS	
SEC. 1.14	NEWS RELEASES	
SECTION 2.	BACKGROUND INFORM	ATION
7		
SEC. 2.1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	
SECTION 3.	SCOPE OF WORK & CONTRACT INFORM	ATION
8		
SEC. 3.1	SCOPE OF WORK	
SEC. 3.2	DELIVERABLES	
SEC. 3.3	CONTRACT TERM AND WORK SCHEDULE	
SEC. 3.4	CONTRACT TYPE	
SEC. 3.5	PROPOSED PAYMENT PROCEDURES	
SEC. 3.6	PROMPT PAYMENT FOR STATE PURCHASES	
SEC. 3.7	CONTRACT PAYMENT	
SEC. 3.8	CONTRACT PRICE ADJUSTMENTS	
SEC. 3.9	LOCATION OF WORK	
SEC. 3.10	THIRD-PARTY SERVICE PROVIDERS	
SEC. 3.11	SUBCONTRACTORS	
SEC. 3.12	JOINT VENTURES	
SEC. 3.13	RIGHT TO INSPECT PLACE OF BUSINESS	
SEC. 3.14	F.O.B. POINT	
SEC. 3.15	CONTRACT PERSONNEL	11
SEC. 3.16	INSPECTION & MODIFICATION - REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNACCEPTABLE	10
DELIVERA		
SEC. 3.17	LIQUIDATED DAMAGES	12
SEC. 3.18	CONTRACT CHANGES - UNANTICIPATED AMENDMENTS	
SEC. 3.19	NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY	
SEC. 3.20	INDEMNIFICATION	13
SEC. 3.21	INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS	
SEC. 3.22	TERMINATION FOR DEFAULT	
		NTENT
	5 DED SLIDMITTAL EODMS	1.5
SEC. 4.1	RFP SUBMITTAL FORMS SPECIAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS	
SEC. 4.2 SEC. 4.3		
SEC. 4.3 SEC. 4.4	OFFEROR INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM A)	
SEC. 4.4	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM B)	10

SEC. 4.5	UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM C)	
SEC. 4.6	METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM D)	
SEC. 4.7	MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM E)	
SEC. 4.8	SUBCONTRACTORS (SUBMITTAL FORM F)	
SEC. 4.9	COST PROPOSAL (SUBMITTAL FORM G)	
SEC. 4.10	BID BOND – PERFORMANCE BOND – SURETY DEPOSIT	
	EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRA	
	18	
SEC. 5.1	SUMMARY OF EVALUATION PROCESS	
SEC. 5.2	EVALUATION CRITERIA	
SEC. 5.3	SCORING METHOD AND CALCULATION	
SEC. 5.4	EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS	
SEC. 5.5	UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT	
SEC. 5.6	METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT	
SEC. 5.7	MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT	
SEC. 5.8	CONTRACT COST (COST PROPOSAL)	
SEC. 5.9	ALASKA OFFEROR PREFERENCE	
	24	
SEC. 6.1	INFORMAL DEBRIEFING	
SEC. 6.2	ALASKA BUSINESS LICENSE AND OTHER REQUIRED LICENSES	
SEC. 6.3	SITE INSPECTION	
SEC. 6.4	CLARIFICATION OF OFFERS	
SEC. 6.5	DISCUSSIONS WITH OFFERORS	
SEC. 6.6	EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS	
SEC. 6.7	CONTRACT NEGOTIATION	
SEC. 6.8	FAILURE TO NEGOTIATE	
SEC. 6.9	OFFEROR NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION	
SEC. 6.10	PROTEST	
SEC. 6.11	APPLICATION OF PREFERENCES	
SEC. 6.12	ALASKA BIDDER PREFERENCE	
SEC. 6.13	ALASKA VETERAN PREFERENCE	
SEC. 6.14	STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS	
SEC. 6.15	QUALIFIED OFFERORS	
SEC. 6.16	PROPOSAL AS PART OF THE CONTRACT	
SEC. 6.17	ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	
SEC. 6.18	HUMAN TRAFFICKING	
SEC. 6.19	RIGHT OF REJECTION	
SEC. 6.20	STATE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS	
SEC. 6.21	DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS	
SEC. 6.22	ASSIGNMENT	
SEC. 6.23	FORCE MAJEURE (IMPOSSIBILITY TO PERFORM)	
SEC. 6.24	DISPUTES	
SEC. 6.25	SEVERABILITY	
SEC. 6.26	SUPPLEMENTAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS	
SEC. 6.27	SOLICITATION ADVERTISING	
SEC. 6.28	FEDERALLY IMPOSED TARIFFS	
SECTION 7.		ATTACHMENTS
	32	
SEC. 7.1	ATTACHMENTS	

SECTION 1. INTRODUCTION & INSTRUCTIONS

SEC. 1.1 PURPOSE OF THE RFP

The Division of Administrative Services (ADMN) of the Department of Commerce, Community, and Economic Development (DCCED) on behalf of the Commissioner's Office (COMM) seeks proposals from highly qualified, experienced website development companies to create a public-facing website. The selected contractor will be responsible for working with DCCED to design the new website, create content, and execute a production launch. The chosen contractor must have demonstrated experience in managing professional website design and development projects.

Sec. 1.2 BUDGET

DCCED estimates a budget of \$150,000 for completion of this project.

Payment for the contract is subject to funds already appropriated and identified.

Sec. 1.3 DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS

Proposals must be received no later than 16:00prevailing Alaska Standard Time on Friday, June 14, 2024, as indicated bypostmark oremail timestamp and late proposals will not be considered.

Sec. 1.4 MINIMUM QUALIFICATIONS

In order for offers to be considered responsive offerors must meet these minimum prior experience requirements:

- 1. Offeror must have been successfully developing similar websites for the last five years.¹
- 2. Lead Service Manager must have been managing similar professional website design and development projects for the last five years.²
- 3. Offeror must supply links to three active websites the Offeror and/or Lead Service Manager have developed since 2018³to demonstrate their expertise. At least one must have been completed by both the Offeror and Lead Service Manager.
- 4. Offeror must use DNN Platform as the CMS.

An offeror's failure to meet these minimum prior experience requirements will cause their proposal to be considered non-responsive and rejected.

Sec. 1.5 REQUIRED REVIEW

Offerors should carefully review this solicitation for defects and questionable or objectionable material. Comments concerning defects and questionable or objectionable material should be made in writing and received by the procurement officer at least ten days before the deadline for receipt of proposals. This will allow time for the issuance of any necessary amendments. It will also help prevent the opening of a defective proposal and exposure of offeror's proposals upon which award could not be made.

Sec. 1.6 QUESTIONS PRIOR TO DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF PROPOSALS

All questions must be in writing and directed to the procurement officer. The interested party must confirm telephone conversations in writing.

Two types of questions generally arise. One may be answered by directing the questioner to a specific section of the RFP. These questions may be answered over the telephone. Other questions may be more complex and may require a written amendment to the RFP. The procurement officer will make that decision.

Procurement Officer: Rob Roys – Phone 907-465-2519 - Fax 907-465-2563 - Phone (TTY): 711 for Alaska Relay

Sec. 1.7 RETURN INSTRUCTIONS

The Submittal Forms must be saved as separate PDF documents and emailed to <u>CED.Procurement@alaska.gov</u> as separate, clearly labeled attachments, such as "Vendor A – Submission Form A.pdf" (Vendor A is the name of the offeror). The email must contain the RFP number in the subject line. MS Excel Submittal Forms must be submitted in the original MS Excel format.

Note that the email address for submitting your proposal is not the email address of the Procurement Officer contract for this RFP. Submissions sent to the incorrect email address may result in the proposal being rejected as non-responsive.

The **maximum** size of a single email (including all text and attachments) that can be received by the state is **20mb** (**megabytes**). If the email containing the proposal exceeds this size, the proposal must be sent in multiple emails that are each less than 20 megabytes and each email must comply with the requirements described above.

Please note that email transmission is not instantaneous. Similar to sending a hard copy proposal, if you are emailing your proposal, the state recommends sending it enough ahead of time to ensure the email is delivered by the deadline for receipt of proposals.

We are **<u>not</u>** allowed to open emails until after the deadline for receipt of proposals. The state is not responsible for unreadable, corrupt, or missing attachments. When an email is received that is presented as a response to this RFP, a "reply all" email will be sent with an image of the email in our inbox similar to this sample image:

🖉 From	Subject	Received 🔻	Size
0, .	RFP SAMPLE EMAIL OFFEROR FORMS		232 KB

Sec. 1.8 ASSISTANCE TO OFFERORS WITH A DISABILITY

Offerors with a disability may receive accommodation regarding the means of communicating this RFP or participating in the procurement process. For more information, contact the procurement officer no later than ten days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

Sec. 1.9 AMENDMENTS TO PROPOSALS

Amendments to or withdrawals of proposals will only be allowed if acceptable requests are received prior to the deadline that is set for receipt of proposals. No amendments or withdrawals will be accepted after the deadline unless they are in response to the state's request in accordance with 2 AAC 12.290.

Sec. 1.10 AMENDMENTS TO THE RFP

If an amendment is issued before the deadline for receipt of proposals, the amendment will be posted on the State of Alaska Online Public Notice (OPN) website. The link to the posting of the amendmentwill be provided to all who were notified of the RFP and to those who have registered with the procurement officer after receiving the RFP from the OPN.

After receipt of proposals, if there is a need for any substantial clarification or material change in the RFP, an amendment will be issued. The amendment will incorporate the clarification or change, and a new date and time established for new or amended proposals. Evaluations may be adjusted as a result of receiving new or amended proposals.

Sec. 1.11 RFP SCHEDULE

RFP schedule set out herein represents the state's best estimate of the schedule that will be followed. If a component of this schedule, such as the deadline for receipt of proposals, is delayed, the rest of the schedule may be shifted accordingly. All times are Alaska Standard Time.

ACTIVITY	TIME	DATE
Issue RFP		Friday, May 17, 2024
Deadline to Register for Pre-proposal Conference		Thursday, May 30, 2024
Preproposal Teleconference		Friday, May 31, 2024
Deadline for Questions	17:00	Monday, June 3, 2024
Open Proposals	16:00	Friday, June 14, 2024
Demonstrations (Tentative Date)	VARIOUS	Monday, July 1, 2024
PEC By		Friday, July 5, 2024
Notice on Intent Issued		Friday, July 12, 2024
Contract Issued		Wednesday, July 24, 2024
Contract Start Date		Friday, July 26, 2024

This RFP does not, by itself, obligate the state. The state's obligation will commence when the contract is approved by the DCCED Commissioner, or the Commissioner's designee. Upon written notice to the contractor, the state may set a different starting date for the contract. The state will not be responsible for any work done by the contractor, even work done in good faith, if it occurs prior to the contract start date set by the state.

Sec. 1.12 PRE-PROPOSAL CONFERENCE

There will be a pre-proposal conference held via MS Teams. Those wishing to attend the Teams meeting must contact the Procurement Officer in advance to receive information on how to attend. The pre-proposal teleconference will not be held if there are no parties registered by the deadline in §1.11 RFP SCHEDULE.

The purpose of the conference is to discuss the work to be performed with the prospective offerors and allow them to ask questions concerning the RFP. Questions and answers will be transcribed and sent to prospective offerors as soon as possible after the meeting.

Offerors with a disability needing accommodation should contact the procurement officer prior to the date set for the pre-proposal conference so that reasonable accommodation can be made.

Sec. 1.13 ALTERNATE PROPOSALS

Offerors may only submit one proposal for evaluation. In accordance with 2 AAC 12.830 alternate proposals (proposals that offer something different than what is asked for) will be rejected.

Sec. 1.14 NEWS RELEASES

News releases related to this RFP will not be made without prior approval of the project director.

SECTION 2. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Sec. 2.1 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

DCCED seeks to create a "one stop shop" website for current and potential investors looking to grow or launch a business in Alaska. Currently, such information is not consolidated, and results inconsistent. DCCED received an appropriation from the Alaska State Legislature to design and launch a promotional campaign to attract new investment to the state. This website is intended to help those potential business owners and investors navigate the process of starting or growing a business in Alaska by housing such information on one, easy to find, easy to navigate website. The website shall highlight current and future economic opportunities available within Alaska. This site will serve as the landing page for any social media or digital advertisements associated with the larger project.

SECTION 3. SCOPE

INFORMATION

OF

WORK&CONTRACT

Sec. 3.1 SCOPE OF WORK

The Department of Commerce Community and Economic Development (DCCED) seeks proposals from qualified contractors to design, develop, and implement a public-facing website. The website will support economic development by attracting investors and others looking to develop a new business or grow an existing business in Alaska.

This Site is a key component of the departments developing market initiative. This site will be branded distinctly from state standards and geared towards attracting investment and business to the state.

1. DNN

DNN Platform must be used for CMS.

2. DEVELOPMENT AND PRODUCTION

The contractor will develop and produce the new website with the guidance of the DCCED project manager.

3. CONTENT STRATEGY

The selected contractor will create a content strategy including utilizing content that DCCED will provide as well as creating new content.

4. WEBSITE DESIGN

The website designer will create a highly functional design for the new website using modern design techniques. The designs must be mobile responsive and intuitive to navigate.

5. SEARCH ENGINE OPTIMIZATION

The contractor will use SEO best practices.

6. GRAPHIC DESIGN

The selected contactor will provide graphic design elements including the display of menus, buttons and similar.

7. POST GO-LIVE WEBSITE SUPPORT

The department will host the site on our existing web server running IIS with a dedicated instance of DNN. Contractors can have necessary access via VPN for development during the project. The end product must be mobile-friendly and use the latest version of the web content accessibility guidelines (WCAG) level A and AA issues, maintained and published by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) for this purpose. The selected contactor will provide on-demand as-needed support through the end of the contract term and any exercised renewal options.

Sec. 3.2 DELIVERABLES

The state will host, provide all required certificates, and domain(s).

- 1. Deliverable 01 Project Plan
 - Project Plan should, at minimum, address:
 - a. Milestones/Timeline
 - b. Roles and Responsibilities
 - c. Meeting Cadence
 - d. Risks and Mitigations
 - e. Change Management Strategy

- f. Requirements Gathering for Design and Content
- 2. Deliverable 02 Website Theme A cohesive website design and theme.
- 3. Deliverable 03 Search Engine OptimizationPlan
- 4. Deliverable 04 Content Development and Implementation
- 5. Deliverable 05 Go Live Provide a final approved website with a go live date no later than January 10, 2025. There will be a payment incentive of 10% of the contract value not to exceed \$15,000 for an approved website with a go live date on or before December 10, 2024.
- 6. Deliverable 06 Start of Maintenance After the final website has gone live and is proven to function properly, the contractor shall be available to provide on-demand support for maintenance efforts.

Sec. 3.3 CONTRACT TERM AND WORK SCHEDULE

The length of the contract begins on the date of award, approximately July 30, 2024, through delivery of the final website no later than January 10, 2025, and for on-demand maintenance support through June 30, 2025. There will be two 1-year renewal options for the on-demand maintenance support to be exercised by mutual agreement.

- Initial term: award through June 30, 2025;
- Deadline for bonus December 10, 2024;
- Final deadline for website January 10, 2025;
- End of first contract term June 30, 2025;
- Renewal Option 1 of 2: July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2026; and
- Renewal Option 2 of 2: July 1, 2026, through June 30, 2027.

Unless otherwise provided in this RFP, the State and the successful offeror/contractor agree: (1) that any extension of the contract excluding any exercised renewal options, will be considered as a month-to-month extension, and all other terms and conditions shall remain in full force and effect and (2) the procurement officer will provide notice to the contractor of the intent to cancel such month-to-month extension at least 30days before the desired date of cancellation. A month-to-month extension may only be executed by the procurement officer via a written contract amendment.

Sec. 3.4 CONTRACT TYPE

This contract is a Firm Fixed Price Plus Incentive contract.

An acceptable website that goes live on or before December 10, 2024, will earn a bonus payment of 10% of the contract value up to \$15,000.

Sec. 3.5 PROPOSED PAYMENT PROCEDURES

The state will pay the entire contract amount in up to seven payments. Each incremental payment will be made after each deliverable have been completed and approved by the project director. The final payment will not be made until the final website is live and functioning to DCCED's satisfaction.

- Payment 1 upon approval of Deliverable 01 an amount equal to 15% of Contract Value
- Payment 2 Deliverable 02 Website Theme 15% of Contract Value
- Payment 3 Search Engine Optimization Plan 15% of Contract Value
- Payment 4 Content Development and Implementation 15% of Contract Value
- Payment 5 Go Live 15% of Contract Value

- Incentive Payment 10% of Contract Value not to exceed \$15,000
- Payment 6 Final Acceptance15% of Contract Value

Sec. 3.6 PROMPT PAYMENT FOR STATE PURCHASES

Not applicable to this RFP.

Sec. 3.7 CONTRACT PAYMENT

No payment will be made until the contract is approved by the DCCED Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee. Under no conditions will the state be liable for the payment of any interest charges associated with the cost of the contract. The state is not responsible for and will not pay local, state, or federal taxes. All costs associated with the contract must be stated in U.S. currency.

Payment for agreements under \$500,000 for the undisputed purchase of goods or services provided to a state agency, will be made within 30 days of the receipt of a proper billing or the delivery of the goods or services to the location(s) specified in the agreement, whichever is later. A late payment is subject to 1.5% interest per month on the unpaid balance. Interest will not be paid if there is a dispute or if there is an agreement that establishes a lower interest rate or precludes the charging of interest.

Any single contract payment of \$1 million or higher must be accepted by the contractor via Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT).

Sec. 3.8 CONTRACT PRICE ADJUSTMENTS

Not applicable to this RFP.

Sec. 3.9 LOCATION OF WORK

The state WILL NOT provide workspace for the contractor. The contractor must provide its own workspace.

Travel is not required for this contract. The state will not reimburse any travel costs.

By signing their proposal, the offeror certifies that all services provided under this contract by the contractor and all subcontractors shall be performed in the United States.

If the offeror cannot certify that all work will be performed in the United States, the offeror must contact the procurement officer in writing to request a waiver at least 10 days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

The request must include a detailed description of the portion of work that will be performed outside the United States, where, by whom, and the reason the waiver is necessary.

Failure to comply with these requirements may cause the state to reject the proposal as non-responsive or cancel the contract.

Sec. 3.10 THIRD-PARTY SERVICE PROVIDERS

Not appliable to this RFP.

Sec. 3.11 SUBCONTRACTORS

Subcontractors may be used to perform work under this contract. If an offeror intends to use subcontractors, the offeror must complete the Submittal Form identified in Section 4.02 of this RFP.

An offeror's failure to provide this information with their proposal may cause the state to consider their proposal non-responsive and reject it.

Subcontractor experience **SHALL** be considered in determining whether the offeror meets the requirements set forth in **SEC. 1.04 PRIOR EXPERIENCE**.

If a proposal with subcontractors is selected, the offeror must provide the following information concerning each prospective subcontractor within five working days from the date of the state's request:

- complete name of the subcontractor;
- complete address of the subcontractor;
- type of work the subcontractor will be performing;
- percentage of work the subcontractor will be providing;
- evidence that the subcontractor holds a valid Alaska business license;

If a subcontractor on the list will be performing work within Alaska, and did not have a valid Alaska business license at the close of the RFP, the Offeror may not use the subcontractor in the performance of the contract and shall replace the subcontractor with a subcontractor who had a valid Alaska business license at the close of the RFP.

• a written statement, signed by each proposed subcontractor that clearly verifies that the subcontractor is committed to render the services required by the contract.

An offeror's failure to provide this information, within the time set, will cause the state to consider their proposal non-responsive and reject it. The substitution of one subcontractor for another that has already been approved may be made only at the discretion and prior written approval of the project director.

Note that if the subcontractor will not be performing work within Alaska, they will not be required to hold an Alaska business license.

Sec. 3.12 JOINT VENTURES

Joint ventures will not be allowed.

Sec. 3.13 RIGHT TO INSPECT PLACE OF BUSINESS

At reasonable times, the state may inspect those areas of the contractor's place of business that are related to the performance of a contract. If the state makes such an inspection, the contractor must provide reasonable assistance.

Sec. 3.14 F.O.B. POINT

Not applicable to this RFP.

Sec. 3.15 CONTRACT PERSONNEL

Any change of the project team members or subcontractors named in the proposal must be approved, in advance and in writing, by the project directorand the procurement officer. Changes that are not approved by the state may be grounds for the state to terminate the contract.

Sec. 3.16 INSPECTION & MODIFICATION - REIMBURSEMENT FOR UNACCEPTABLE DELIVERABLES

The contractor is responsible for the completion of all work set out in the contract. All work is subject to inspection, evaluation, and approval by the project director. The state may employ all reasonable means to ensure that the work is progressing and being performed in compliance with the contract. The project director or procurement officer may instruct the contractor to make corrections or modifications if needed in order to accomplish the contract's intent. The contractor will not unreasonably withhold such changes.

Substantial failure of the contractor to perform the contract may cause the state to terminate the contract. In this event, the state may require the contractor to reimburse monies paid (based on the identified portion of unacceptable work received) and may seek associated damages.

Sec. 3.17 LIQUIDATED DAMAGES

Not appliable to this RFP.

Sec. 3.18 CONTRACT CHANGES - UNANTICIPATED AMENDMENTS

During the course of this contract, the contractor may be required to perform additional work. That work will be within the general scope of the initial contract. When additional work is required, the project director will provide the contractor a written description of the additional work and request the contractor to submit a firm time schedule for accomplishing the additional work and a firm price for the additional work. Cost and pricing data must be provided to justify the cost of such amendments per AS 36.30.400.

The contractor will not commence additional work until the procurement officer has secured any required state approvals necessary for the amendment and issued a written contract amendment, approved by the DCCED Commissioner or the Commissioner's designee.

Sec. 3.19 NONDISCLOSURE AND CONFIDENTIALITY

Contractor agrees that all confidential information shall be used only for purposes of providing the deliverables and performing the services specified herein and shall not disseminate or allow dissemination of confidential information except as provided for in this section. The contractor shall hold as confidential and will use reasonable care (including both facility physical security and electronic security) to prevent unauthorized access by, storage, disclosure, publication, dissemination to and/or use by third parties of, the confidential information. "Reasonable care" means compliance by the contractor with all applicable federal and state law, including the Social Security Act and HIPAA. The contractor must promptly notify the state in writing if it becomes aware of any storage, disclosure, loss, unauthorized access to or use of the confidential information.

Confidential information, as used herein, means any data, files, software, information or materials (whether prepared by the state or its agents or advisors) in oral, electronic, tangible or intangible form and however stored, compiled or memorialized that is classified confidential as defined by State of Alaska classification and categorization guidelines provided by the state to the contractor or a contractor agent or otherwise made available to the contractor or a contractor agent in connection with this contract, or acquired, obtained or learned by the contractor or a contractor agent in the performance of this contract. Examples of confidential information include, but are not limited to: technology infrastructure, architecture, financial data, trade secrets, equipment specifications, user lists, passwords, research data, and technology data (infrastructure, architecture, operating systems, security tools, IP addresses, etc).

If confidential information is requested to be disclosed by the contractor pursuant to a request received by a third party and such disclosure of the confidential information is required under applicable state or federal law,

regulation, governmental or regulatory authority, the contractor may disclose the confidential information after providing the state with written notice of the requested disclosure (to the extent such notice to the state is permitted by applicable law) and giving the state opportunity to review the request. If the contractor receives no objection from the state, it may release the confidential information within 30 days. Notice of the requested disclosure of confidential information by the contractor must be provided to the state within a reasonable time after the contractor's receipt of notice of the requested disclosure and, upon request of the state, shall seek to obtain legal protection from the release of the confidential information.

The following information shall not be considered confidential information: information previously known to be public information when received from the other party; information freely available to the general public; information which now is or hereafter becomes publicly known by other than a breach of confidentiality hereof; or information which is disclosed by a party pursuant to subpoen or other legal process and which as a result becomes lawfully obtainable by the general public.

Sec. 3.20 INDEMNIFICATION

The contractor shall indemnify, hold harmless, and defend the contracting agency from and against any claim of, or liability for error, omission, or negligent act of the contractor under this agreement. The contractor shall not be required to indemnify the contracting agency for a claim of, or liability for, the independent negligence of the contracting agency. If there is a claim of, or liability for, the joint negligent error or omission of the contractor and the independent negligence of the contracting agency, the indemnification and hold harmless obligation shall be apportioned on a comparative fault basis. "Contractor" and "contracting agency", as used within this and the following article, include the employees, agents and other contractors who are directly responsible, respectively, to each. The term "independent negligence" is negligence other than in the contracting agency's selection, administration, monitoring, or controlling of the contractor and in approving or accepting the contractor's work.

Sec. 3.21 INSURANCE REQUIREMENTS

Without limiting contractor's indemnification, it is agreed that contractor shall purchase at its own expense and maintain in force at all times during the performance of services under this agreement the following policies of insurance. Where specific limits are shown, it is understood that they shall be the minimum acceptable limits. If the contractor's policy contains higher limits, the state shall be entitled to coverage to the extent of such higher limits.

Certificates of Insurance must be furnished to the procurement officer prior to beginning work and must provide for a notice of cancellation, non-renewal, or material change of conditions in accordance with policy provisions. Failure to furnish satisfactory evidence of insurance or lapse of the policy is a material breach of this contract and shall be grounds for termination of the contractor's services. All insurance policies shall comply with and be issued by insurers licensed to transact the business of insurance under AS 21.

Workers' Compensation Insurance: The contractor shall provide and maintain, for all employees engaged in work under this contract, coverage as required by AS 23.30.045, and where applicable, any other statutory obligations including but not limited to Federal U.S.L. & H. and Jones Act requirements. The policy must waive subrogation against the State.

Commercial General Liability Insurance:covering all business premises and operations used by the Contractor in the performance of services under this agreement with minimum coverage limits of \$300,000 combined single limit per claim.

Commercial Automobile Liability Insurance: covering all vehicles used by the contractor in the performance of services under this agreement with minimum coverage limits of \$300,000 combined single limit per claim.

NOTE: Proposals conditioned upon the state accepting any changes to the above insurance requirements shall be rejected. Limitations on 3rd party liability are unconstitutional for State of Alaska contracts: proposals conditioned upon accepting limitations on 3rd party liability shall be rejected.

Sec. 3.22 TERMINATION FOR DEFAULT

- a) If the Project Director or Procurement Officer determines that the contractor has refused to perform the work or has failed to perform the work with such diligence as to ensure its timely and accurate completion, the state may, by providing written notice to the contractor, terminate the contractor's right to proceed with part or all the remaining work.
- b) The Procurement Officer may also, by written notice, terminate this contract under Administrative Order 352 if the contractor supports or participates in a boycott of the State of Israel.

This clause does not restrict the state's termination rights under the contract provisions of Appendix A, attached in **SECTION 7. ATTACHMENTS**.

SECTION 4. PROPOSAL FORMAT AND CONTENT

Sec. 4.1 RFP SUBMITTAL FORMS

This RFP contains Submittal Forms, which must be completed by the offeror and submitted as part of their proposal. An electronic copy of the forms is posted along with this RFP.

Unless otherwise specified in this RFP, the Submittal Forms shall be the offeror's entire proposal. <u>Do not</u> include any marketing information in the proposal.

Any proposal that does not follow these requirements may be deemed non-responsive.

Sec. 4.2 SPECIAL FORMATTING REQUIREMENTS

The offeror must ensure that their proposal meets all special formatting requirements identified in this section.

Documents and Text: All attachment documents must be written in the English language, be single sided, and be single spaced with a minimum font size of 10. Pictures or graphics may be used if the offeror feels it is necessary to communicate their information, however, be aware of the below requirements for page limits.

Page Limits: Some Submittal Forms listed below have maximum page limit requirements. Offerors must not exceed the maximum page limits. Note, the page limit applies to the front side of a page only (for example, '1 Page' requiresthat the offeror shall only provide a response on one side of a piece of paper). Any pages exceeding the maximum page limit will be discarded and will not be included in the evaluations (for example, the maximum page limit is 3 pages, but the Offeror submits 5 pages for that submittal form. Only pages 1-3 will be evaluated. Pages 4 and 5 would be discarded by the Procurement Officer before sending to the proposal evaluation committee for evaluation.).

Submittal Form	Maximum Page Limits
Submittal Form A – Offeror Information and Certifications	
Submittal Form B – Experience and Qualifications	5
Submittal Form C – Understanding of the Project	5
Submittal Form D – Methodology Used for the Project	5
Submittal Form E – Management Plan for the Project	5
Submittal Form F – Subcontractors	
Submittal Form G – Cost Proposal	

Any Submittal Form that is being evaluated and does not follow these instructions may receive a '1' score for the evaluated Submittal Form, or the entire response may be deemed non-responsive and rejected. Failure to submit any of the Submittal Forms will result in the proposal being deemed non-responsive and rejected.

Sec. 4.3 OFFEROR INFORMATION AND CERTIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM A)

The offeror must complete and submit this Submittal Form. The form must be signed by an individual authorized to bind the offeror to the provisions of the RFP.

By signature on the form, the offeror certifies they comply with the following:

c) the laws of the State of Alaska;

- d) the applicable portion of the Federal Civil Rights Act of 1964;
- e) the Equal Employment Opportunity Act and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government;
- f) the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 and the regulations issued thereunder by the federal government;
- g) all terms and conditions set out in this RFP;
- h) a condition that the proposal submitted was independently arrived at, without collusion, under penalty of perjury; and
- i) that the offers will remain open and valid for at least 90 days.

If any offeror fails to comply with [a] through [g] of this paragraph, the state reserves the right to disregard the proposal, terminate the contract, or consider the contractor in default.

The Submittal Form also requests the following information:

- a) The complete name and address of offeror's firm along with the offeror's Tax ID.
- b) Information on the person the state should contact regarding the proposal.
- c) Names of critical team members/personnel.
- d) Addenda acknowledgement.
- e) Conflict of interest statement.
- f) Federal requirements.
- g) Alaska preference qualifications.

An offeror's failure to address/respond/include these items may cause the proposal to be determined to be non-responsive and the proposal may be rejected.

Sec. 4.4 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS (SUBMITTAL FORM B)

Offerors must provide detail on the personnel assigned to accomplish the work called for in this RFP; illustrate the lines of authority; designate the individual responsible and accountable for the completion of each component and deliverable of the RFP.

Offerors must provide a narrative description of the organization of the project team and a personnel roster that identifies each person who will actually work on the contract along with their titles and location(s) where work will be performed.

Letters of Reference

Letters of reference do not count against the maximum page count of Submittal Form B.

Offerors must also provide at least one but no more than three letters of reference with names, email, and phone numbers for similar projects the offeror's firm has completed. Each letter of reference may not exceed one page.

Resumes

Resumes do not count against the maximum page count of Submittal Form B.

Resumes of the Lead Service Managerand the other critical team members identified on Submittal Form A of no more than two pages each must be included with this form.

SPECIAL NOTE: The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. This Submittal Form cannot exceed the page limit (as described in §4.02).

Sec. 4.5 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM C)

Offerors must provide comprehensive narrative statements that illustrate their understanding of the requirements of the project and the project schedule.

The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. Submission forms shall not exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02).

Sec. 4.6 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM D)

Offerors must provide comprehensive narrative statements that set out the methodology they intend to employ and illustrate how the methodology will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's project schedule.

The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. Submission forms shall not exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02).

Sec. 4.7 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT (SUBMITTAL FORM E)

Offerors must provide comprehensive narrative statements that set out the management plan they intend to follow and illustrate how the plan will serve to accomplish the work and meet the state's project schedule.

The offeror shall not disclose their costs in this Submittal Form. Submission forms shall not exceed the page limit (as described in Section 4.02)..

Sec. 4.8 SUBCONTRACTORS (SUBMITTAL FORM F)

If using subcontractors, the offeror must complete and submit this Submittal Form.

Sec. 4.9 COST PROPOSAL (SUBMITTAL FORM G)

Offerors must complete and submit this Submittal Form. Proposed costs must include all direct and indirect costs associated with the performance of the contract, including, but not limited to, total number of hours at various hourly rates, direct expenses, payroll, supplies, overhead assigned to each person working on the project, percentage of each person's time devoted to the project, and profit. The costs identified on the cost proposal are the total amount of costs to be paid by the state. No additional charges shall be allowed.

Sec. 4.10 BID BOND – PERFORMANCE BOND – SURETY DEPOSIT

Not applicable to this RFP.

SECTION 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION

Sec. 5.1 SUMMARY OF EVALUATION PROCESS

The state will use the following steps to evaluate and prioritize proposals:

- 1) Proposals will be assessed for overall responsiveness. Proposals deemed non-responsive will be eliminated from further consideration.
- 2) A proposal evaluation committee (PEC), made up of at least three state employees or public officials, will evaluate the Technical portion of all responsive proposals.
- 3) Submittal Forms B through F, from each responsive proposal, will be sent to the PEC. No cost information will be shared or provided to the PEC.
- 4) If more than six responsive proposals are received, the state will score Submittal Form B first with the top six responsive proposal being moved on for further evaluation. The Alaska Offeror's Preference (RFP §5.09) will be included in this score.
- 5) The PEC will independently evaluate and score the documents based on the degree to which they meet the stated evaluation criteria.
- 6) After independent scoring, the PEC will have a meeting, chaired by the procurement officer, where the PEC may have a group discussion prior to finalizing their scores.
- 7) The evaluators will submit their final individual scores to the procurement officer, who will then compile the scores and calculate awarded points as set out in Section 5.03.
- 8) The procurement officer will calculate scores for cost proposals as set out in Section 5.08 and add those scores to the awarded points along with factoring in any Alaska preferences.
- 9) The top 3 ranked proposals <u>eligible for award</u> will be invited to provide a Demonstration. To be eligible for award an offeror must be within range of the tope ranked proposal by no more than the amount of points to assigned to the Demonstration. For example, after evaluation in steps 1-8 there are six ranked proposals.

Offeror	Scor		
Number	e	Rank	Demo Round?
#1	32	4	No
#2	25	5	No
#3	10	6	No
#4	42	2	Yes
#5	33	3	No
#6	44	1	Yes

In this example, Offeror #5, while ranked third, would not be able to make up the 11 points to be eligible for award. Therefore, Offeror #5 is not moved on to the Demonstration round.

- 10) The procurement officer may ask for best and final offers from offerors susceptible for award and revise the cost scores accordingly.
- 11) The state will then conduct any necessary negotiations with the highest scoring offeror and award a contract if the negotiations are successful.

Sec. 5.2 EVALUATION CRITERIA

Proposals will be evaluated based on their overall value to state, considering both cost and non-cost factors as described below. Note: An evaluation may not be based on discrimination due to the race, religion, color, national origin, sex, age, marital status, pregnancy, parenthood, disability, or political affiliation of the offeror.

Overall Criteria		Weight
Responsiveness		Pass/Fail
Qualifications Criteria		Weight
Experience and Qualifications	(Submittal Form B)	10
Understanding of the Project	(Submittal Form C)	10
Methodology Used for the Project	(Submittal Form D)	10
Management Plan for the Project	(Submittal Form E)	10
Subcontractors	(Submittal Form F)	Not Scored
	Total	40
Cost Criteria		Weight
Cost Proposal	(Submittal Form G)	40
	Total	40
Preference Criteria		Weight
Alaska Offeror Preference (if applicable)		10
	Total	10
Demonstration		Weight
Demonstration		10
	Total	10

TOTAL EVALUATION POINTS AVAILABLE: 100

Sec. 5.3 SCORING METHOD AND CALCULATION

Each Proposal Evaluation Committee (PEC) member will individually evaluate and score each responsive proposal using the criteria set out in Sections 5.04 through 5.07 and assign a single score of 1, 5, or 10, with 10 representing the highest score, 5 representing the average score, and 1 representing the lowest score. PEC members should start with a score of 5 on each section. The score may either increase or decrease depending on the offeror's response to each question for that section. As an example, if the Offeror provided responses over and above the evaluation questions in a section, they would receive a score of 10. However, if the Offeror's response fails to address all questions of a section or demonstrates some lack of understanding or competency as it relates to a question for that section, the Offeror would then receive a score of 1.

After the PEC has scored, the scores for each section will be totaled and the following formula will be used to calculate the total amount of points awarded for each section:

Offeror Total Score

_____ x Max Points = Points Awarded Highest Total Score Possible

Example (Max Points for the Section = 100):

	PEC Member 1 Score	PEC Member 2 Score	PEC Member 3 Score	PEC Member 4Score	Combined Total Score	Points Awarded
Offeror 1	10	5	5	10	30	75
Offeror 2	5	5	5	5	20	50
Offeror 3	10	10	10	10	40	100

Offeror 1 was awarded 75 points:

Offeror Total Score(30)

Highest Total Score Possible (40)

Offeror 2 was awarded 50 points:

Offeror Total Score(20)

Highest Total Score Possible (40)

Offeror 3 was awarded 100 points:

Offeror Total Score(40)

x Max Points (100) = Points Awarded (100)

Highest Total Score Possible (40)

Sec. 5.4 EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

a) Questions regarding the personnel:

- 1) Do the individuals assigned to the project have experience on similar projects?
- 2) Are resumes complete and do they demonstrate backgrounds that would be desirable for individuals engaged in the work the project requires?
- 3) How extensive is the applicable education and experience of the personnel designated to work on the project?

b) Questions regarding the firm and subcontractor (if used):

- 4) How well has the firm demonstrated experience in completing similar projects on time and within budget?
- 5) How successful is the general history of the firm regarding timely and successful completion of projects?
- 6) Has the firm provided letters of reference from previous clients?
- 7) If a subcontractor will perform work on the contract, how well do they measure up to the evaluation used for the offeror?

Sec. 5.5 UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROJECT

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

- 1) How well has the offeror demonstrated a thorough understanding of the purpose and scope of the project?
- 2) How well has the offeror identified pertinent issues and potential problems related to the project?
- 3) To what degree has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the deliverables the state expects it to provide?
- 4) Has the offeror demonstrated an understanding of the state's time schedule and can meet it?

Sec. 5.6 METHODOLOGY USED FOR THE PROJECT

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

- 1) How comprehensive is the methodology and does it depict a logical approach to fulfilling the requirements of the RFP?
- 2) How well does the methodology match and achieve the objectives set out in the RFP?
- 3) Does the methodology interface with the time schedule in the RFP?

Sec. 5.7 MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PROJECT

This portion of the offeror's proposal will be evaluated against the following questions:

- 1) How well does the management plan support all of the project requirements and logically lead to the deliverables required in the RFP?
- 2) How well is accountability completely and clearly defined?
- 3) Is the organization of the project team clear?
- 4) How well does the management plan illustrate the lines of authority and communication?
- 5) To what extent does the offeror already have the hardware, software, equipment, and licenses necessary to perform the contract?
- 6) Does it appear that the offeror can meet the schedule set out in the RFP?
- 7) Has the offeror gone beyond the minimum tasks necessary to meet the objectives of the RFP?
- 8) To what degree is the proposal practical and feasible?
- 9) To what extent has the offeror identified potential problems?

Sec. 5.8 CONTRACT COST (COST PROPOSAL)

Overall, a maximum of 50% of the total evaluation points will be assigned to cost. After the procurement officer applies any applicable preferences, the offeror with the lowest total cost will receive the maximum number of points allocated to cost per 2 AAC 12.260(c). The point allocations for cost on the other proposals will be determined using the following formula:

[(Price of Lowest Cost Proposal) x (Maximum Points for Cost)] ÷ (Cost of Each Higher Priced Proposal)

Example (Max Points for Contract Cost = 400):

<u>Step 1</u>

List all proposal prices, adjusted where appropriate by the application of applicable preferences claimed by the offeror.

Offeror #1	\$40,000
Offeror #2	\$42,750
Offeror #3	\$47,500

<u>Step 2</u>

In this example, the RFP allotted 40% of the available 1,000 points to cost. This means that the lowest cost will receive the maximum number of points.

Offeror #1 receives 400 points.

The reason they receive that amount is because the lowest cost proposal, in this case \$40,000, receives the maximum number of points allocated to cost, 400 points.

Offeror #2 receives 374.3 points.

40,000 lowest cost x 400 maximum points for cost = $16,000,000 \div 42,750$ cost of Offeror #2's proposal = 374.3

Offeror #3 receives 336.8 points.

\$40,000 lowest cost x 400 maximum points for cost = $16,000,000 \div $47,500$ cost of Offeror #3's proposal = **336.8**

SEC. 5.9 ALASKA OFFEROR PREFERENCE

Per 2 AAC 12.260, if an offeror qualifies for the Alaska Bidder Preference, the offeror will receive an Alaska Offeror Preference. The preference will be 10% of the total available points, which will be added to the offeror's overall evaluation score.

Example:

Step 1

Determine the number of points available to qualifying offerors under this preference:

1000 Total Points Available in RFP x 10% Alaska Offeror preference = 100 Points for the preference

Step 2

Determine which offerors qualify as Alaska bidders and thus, are eligible for the Alaska Offeror preference. For this example, presume that all proposals have been completely evaluated based on the evaluation criteria in the RFP. The scores at this point are:

Offeror #1	830 points	No Preference	0 points
Offeror #2	740 points	Alaska Offeror Preference	100 points
Offeror #3	800 points	Alaska Offeror Preference	100 points

Step 3

Add the applicable Alaska Offeror preference amounts to the offerors' scores:

Offeror #1	830 points					
Offeror #2	840 points	(740	points	+	100	points)
Offeror #3	900 points	(800 poin	ts + 100 points)			

Offeror #3 is the highest scoring offeror and would get the award, provided their proposal is responsive and responsible.

SECTION 6. GENERAL PROCESS AND LEGAL INFORMATION

Sec. 6.1 INFORMAL DEBRIEFING

When the contract is completed, an informal debriefing may be performed at the discretion of the project director or procurement officer. If performed, the scope of the debriefing will be limited to the work performed by the contractor.

Sec. 6.2 ALASKA BUSINESS LICENSE AND OTHER REQUIRED LICENSES

Prior to the award of a contract, an offeror must hold a valid Alaska business license. However, in order to receive the Alaska Bidder Preference and other related preferences, such as the Alaska Veteran Preference and Alaska Offeror Preference, an offeror must hold a valid Alaska business license prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals. Offerors should contact the **Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Corporations, Business, and Professional Licensing, PO Box 110806, Juneau, Alaska 99811-0806** for information on these licenses. Acceptable evidence that the offeror possesses a valid Alaska business license may consist of any one of the following:

- copy of an Alaska business license;
- certification on the proposal that the offeror has a valid Alaska business license and has included the license number in the proposal;
- a canceled check for the Alaska business license fee;
- a copy of the Alaska business license application with a receipt stamp from the state's occupational licensing office; or
- a sworn and notarized statement that the offeror has applied and paid for the Alaska business license.

You are not required to hold a valid Alaska business license at the time proposals are opened if you possess one of the following licenses and are offering services or supplies under that specific line of business:

- fisheries business licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue or Alaska Department of Fish and Game,
- liquor licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue for alcohol sales only,
- insurance licenses issued by Alaska Department of Commerce, Community and Economic Development, Division of Insurance, or
- Mining licenses issued by Alaska Department of Revenue.

Prior the deadline for receipt of proposals, all offerors must hold any other necessary applicable professional licenses required by Alaska Statute.

Sec. 6.3 SITE INSPECTION

Not applicable to this RFP.

Sec. 6.4 CLARIFICATION OF OFFERS

In order to determine if a proposal is reasonably susceptible for award, communications by the procurement officer or the proposal evaluation committee (PEC) are permitted with an offeror to clarify uncertainties or eliminate confusion concerning the contents of a proposal. Clarifications may not result in a material or substantive change to the proposal. The evaluation by the procurement officer or the PEC may be adjusted as a result of a clarification under this section.

Sec. 6.5 DISCUSSIONS WITH OFFERORS

The state may conduct discussions with offerors in accordance with AS 36.30.240 and 2 AAC 12.290. The purpose of these discussions will be to ensure full understanding of the requirements of the RFP and proposal. Discussions will be limited to specific sections of the RFP or proposal identified by the procurement officer. Discussions will only be held with offerors who have submitted a proposal deemed reasonably susceptible for award by the procurement officer. Discussions, if held, will be after initial evaluation of proposals by the procurement officer or the PEC. If modifications are made as a result of these discussions, they will be put in writing. Following discussions, the procurement officer may set a time for best and final proposal submissions from those offerors with whom discussions were held. Proposals may be reevaluated after receipt of best and final proposal submissions.

If an offeror does not submit a best and final proposal or a notice of withdrawal, the offeror's immediate previous proposal is considered the offeror's best and final proposal.

Offerors with a disability needing accommodation should contact the procurement officer prior to the date set for discussions so that reasonable accommodation can be made. Any oral modification of a proposal must be reduced to writing by the offeror.

Sec. 6.6 EVALUATION OF PROPOSALS

The procurement officer, or an evaluation committee made up of at least three state employees or public officials, will evaluate proposals. The evaluation will be based solely on the evaluation factors set out in**SECTION 5. EVALUATION CRITERIA AND CONTRACTOR SELECTION.**

After receipt of proposals, if there is a need for any substantial clarification or material change in the RFP, an amendment will be issued. The amendment will incorporate the clarification or change, and a new date and time established for new or amended proposals. Evaluations may be adjusted as a result of receiving new or amended proposals.

Sec. 6.7 CONTRACT NEGOTIATION

After final evaluation, the procurement officer may negotiate with the offeror of the highest-ranked proposal. Negotiations, if held, shall be within the scope of the request for proposals and limited to those items which would not have an effect on the ranking of proposals. If the highest-ranked offeror fails to provide necessary information for negotiations in a timely manner, or fails to negotiate in good faith, the state may terminate negotiations and negotiate with the offeror of the next highest-ranked proposal. If contract negotiations are commenced, they may be held in a conference room on the 9th floor of the State Office Building in Juneau, Alaska or by MS Teams.

If the contract negotiations take place in Juneau, Alaska, the offeror will be responsible for their travel and per diem expenses.

Sec. 6.8 FAILURE TO NEGOTIATE

If the selected offeror

- fails to provide the information required to begin negotiations in a timely manner; or
- fails to negotiate in good faith; or
- indicates they cannot perform the contract within the budgeted funds available for the project; or
- if the offeror and the state, after a good faith effort, simply cannot come to terms,

the state may terminate negotiations with the offeror initially selected and commence negotiations with the next highest ranked offeror.

Sec. 6.9 OFFEROR NOTIFICATION OF SELECTION

After the completion of contract negotiation, the procurement officer will issue a written Notice of Intent to Award and send copies of that notice to all offerors who submitted proposals. The notice will set out the names of all offerors and identify the offeror selected for award.

Sec. 6.10 PROTEST

AS 36.30.560 provides that an interested party may protest the content of the RFP.

An interested party is defined in 2 AAC 12.990(a) (7) as "an actual or prospective bidder or offeror whose economic interest might be affected substantially and directly by the issuance of a contract solicitation, the award of a contract, or the failure to award a contract."

If an interested party wishes to protest the content of a solicitation, the protest must be received, in writing, by the procurement officer at least ten days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

AS 36.30.560 also provides that an interested party may protest the award of a contract or the proposed award of a contract.

If an offeror wishes to protest the award of a contract or the proposed award of a contract, the protest must be received, in writing, by the procurement officer within ten days after the date the Notice of Intent to Award the contract is issued.

A protester must have submitted a proposal in order to have sufficient standing to protest the proposed award of a contract. Protests must include the following information:

- the name, address, and telephone number of the protester;
- the signature of the protester or the protester's representative;
- identification of the contracting agency and the solicitation or contract at issue;
- a detailed statement of the legal and factual grounds of the protest including copies of relevant documents; and the form of relief requested.

Protests filed by telex or telegram are not acceptable because they do not contain a signature. Fax copies containing a signature are acceptable.

The procurement officer will issue a written response to the protest. The response will set out the procurement officer's decision and contain the basis of the decision within the statutory time limit in AS 36.30.580. A copy of the decision will be furnished to the protester by certified mail, fax or another method that provides evidence of receipt.

All offerors will be notified of any protest. The review of protests, decisions of the procurement officer, appeals, and hearings, will be conducted in accordance with the State Procurement Code (AS 36.30), Article 8 "Legal and Contractual Remedies."

Sec. 6.11 APPLICATION OF PREFERENCES

Certain preferences apply to all state contracts, regardless of their dollar value. The Alaska Bidder, Alaska Veteran, and Alaska Offeror preferences are the most common preferences involved in the RFP process. Additional preferences that may apply to this procurement are listed below. Guides that contain excerpts from the relevant statutes and codes, explain when the preferences apply and provide examples of how to calculate the preferences are available at the following website:

Application Of Preferences

- Alaska Products Preference AS 36.30.332
- Recycled Products Preference AS 36.30.337
- Local Agriculture and Fisheries Products Preference AS 36.15.050
- Employment Program Preference AS 36.30.321(b)
- Alaskans with Disabilities Preference AS 36.30.321(d)
- Alaska Veteran's Preference AS 36.30.321(f)

The Division of Vocational Rehabilitation in the Department of Labor and Workforce Development keeps a list of qualified employment programs and individuals who qualify as persons with a disability. As evidence of a business' or an individual's right to the Employment Program or Alaskans with Disabilities preferences, the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation will issue a certification letter. To take advantage of these preferences, a business or individual must be on the appropriate Division of Vocational Rehabilitation list prior to the time designated for receipt of proposals. Offerors must attach a copy of their certification letter to the proposal. An offeror's failure to provide this certification letter with their proposal will cause the state to disallow the preference.

Sec. 6.12 ALASKA BIDDER PREFERENCE

An Alaska Bidder Preference of 5% will be applied to the price in the proposal. The preference will be given to an offeror who:

- 1) holds a current Alaska business license prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals;
- 2) submits a proposal for goods or services under the name appearing on the offeror's current Alaska business license;
- 3) has maintained a place of business within the state staffed by the offeror, or an employee of the offeror, for a period of six months immediately preceding the date of the proposal;
- 4) is incorporated or qualified to do business under the laws of the state, is a sole proprietorship and the proprietor is a resident of the state, is a limited liability company (LLC) organized under AS 10.50 and all members are residents of the state, or is a partnership under AS 32.06 or AS 32.11 and all partners are residents of the state; and
- 5) if a joint venture, is composed entirely of ventures that qualify under (1)-(4) of this subsection.

Alaska Bidder Preference Certification Form

In order to receive the Alaska Bidder Preference, the proposal must include the Alaska Bidder Preference Certification Form attached to this RFP. An offeror does not need to complete the Alaska Veteran Preference questions on the form if not claiming the Alaska Veteran Preference. An offeror's failure to provide this completed form with their proposal will cause the state to disallow the preference

Sec. 6.13 ALASKA VETERAN PREFERENCE

An Alaska Veteran Preference of 5%, not to exceed \$5,000, will be applied to the price in the proposal. The preference will be given to an offeror who qualifies under AS 36.30.990(2) as an Alaska bidder and is a:

- A. sole proprietorship owned by an Alaska veteran;
- B. partnership under AS 32.06 or AS 32.11 if a majority of the partners are Alaska veterans;
- C. limited liability company organized under AS 10.50 if a majority of the members are Alaska veterans; or
- D. corporation that is wholly owned by individuals, and a majority of the individuals are Alaska veterans.

In accordance with AS 36.30.321(i), the bidder must also add value by actually performing, controlling, managing, and supervising the services provided, or for supplies, the bidder must have sold supplies of the general nature solicited to other state agencies, other government, or the general public.

Alaska Veteran Preference Certification

In order to receive the Alaska Veteran Preference, the proposal must include the Alaska Bidder Preference Certification Form attached to this RFP. An offeror's failure to provide this completed form with their proposal will cause the state to disallow the preference.

Sec. 6.14 STANDARD CONTRACT PROVISIONS

The contractor will be required to sign the state's Standard Agreement Form for Professional Services Contracts (form SAF.DOC/Appendix A). This form is attached in **SECTION 7. ATTACHMENTS**. The contractor must comply with the contract provisions set out in this attachment. No alteration of these provisions will be permitted without prior written approval from the Department of Law, and the state reserves the right to reject a proposal that is non-compliant or takes exception with the contract terms and conditions stated in the Agreement. Any requests to change language in this document (adjust, modify, add, delete, etc.), must be set out in the offeror's proposal in a separate document. Please include the following information with any change that you are proposing:

- 1) Identify the provision that the offeror takes exception with.
- 2) Identify why the provision is unjust, unreasonable, etc.
- 3) Identify exactly what suggested changes should be made.

Note: Proposals conditioned upon the state accepting the offeror's proposed changes shall be rejected.

Sec. 6.15 QUALIFIED OFFERORS

Per 2 AAC 12.875, unless provided for otherwise in the RFP, to qualify as an offeror for award of a contract issued under AS 36.30, the offeror must:

- 1) Add value in the contract by actually performing, controlling, managing, or supervising the services to be provided; or
- 2) Be in the business of selling and have actually sold on a regular basis the supplies that are the subject of the RFP.

If the offeror leases services or supplies or acts as a broker or agency in providing the services or supplies in order to meet these requirements, the procurement officer may not accept the offeror as a qualified offeror under AS 36.30.

Sec. 6.16 PROPOSAL AS PART OF THE CONTRACT

Part of or all of this RFP and the successful proposal may be incorporated into the contract.

Sec. 6.17 ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The state reserves the right to add terms and conditions during contract negotiations. These terms and conditions will be within the scope of the RFP and will not affect the proposal evaluations.

Sec. 6.18 HUMAN TRAFFICKING

By signature on their proposal, the offeror certifies that the offeror is not established and headquartered or incorporated and headquartered in a country recognized as Tier 3 in the most recent United States Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report.

The most recent United States Department of State's Trafficking in Persons Report can be found at the following website: <u>https://www.state.gov/trafficking-in-persons-report/</u>

Failure to comply with this requirement will cause the state to reject the proposal as non-responsive or cancel the contract.

Sec. 6.19 RIGHT OF REJECTION

Offerors must comply with all of the terms of the RFP, the State Procurement Code (AS 36.30), and all applicable local, state, and federal laws, codes, and regulations. The procurement officer may reject any proposal that does not comply with all of the material and substantial terms, conditions, and performance requirements of the RFP.

Offerors may not qualify the proposal nor restrict the rights of the state. If an offeror does so, the procurement officer may determine the proposal to be a non-responsive counteroffer and the proposal may be rejected.

Minor informalities that:

- do not affect responsiveness;
- are merely a matter of form or format;
- do not change the relative standing or otherwise prejudice other offers;
- do not change the meaning or scope of the RFP;
- are trivial, negligible, or immaterial in nature;
- do not reflect a material change in the work; or
- do not constitute a substantial reservation against a requirement or provision;

may be waived by the procurement officer.

The State reserves the right to refrain from making an award if it determines that it is not in the best interest of the State.

A proposal from a debarred or suspended offeror shall be rejected.

Sec. 6.20 STATE NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR PREPARATION COSTS

The state will not pay any cost associated with the preparation, submittal, presentation, or evaluation of any proposal.

Sec. 6.21 DISCLOSURE OF PROPOSAL CONTENTS

All proposals and other material submitted become the property of the State of Alaska and may be returned only at the state's option. AS 40.25.110 requires public records to be open to reasonable inspection. All proposal information, including detailed price and cost information, will be held in confidence during the evaluation process and prior to the time a Notice of Intent to Award is issued. Thereafter, proposals will become public information.

The Office of Procurement and Property Management (OPPM), or their designee recognizes that some information an offeror submits might be confidential under the United States or the State of Alaska Constitution, a federal statute or regulation, or a State of Alaska statute: i.e., might be confidential business information (CBI). *See, e.g.*, article 1, section 1 of the Alaska Constitution; AS 45.50.910 – 45.50.945 (the Alaska Uniform Trade Secrets Act); *DNR v. Arctic Slope Regional Corp.*, 834 P.2d 134, 137-39 (Alaska 1991). For OPPM or their designee to treat information an offeror submits with its proposal as CBI, the offeror must do the following when submitting their proposal: (1) mark the specific information it asserts is CBI; and (2) for each discrete set of such information, identify, in writing, each authority the offeror asserts make the information CBI. If the offeror does not do these things, the information will become public after the Notice of Intent to Award is issued. If the offeror does these things, OPPM or their designee reject the assertion, they will, to the extent permitted by federal and State of Alaska law, undertake reasonable measures to give the offeror an opportunity to object to the disclosure of the information.

Sec. 6.22 ASSIGNMENT

Per 2 AAC 12.480, the contractor may not transfer or assign any portion of the contract without prior written approval from the procurement officer.

Sec. 6.23 FORCE MAJEURE (IMPOSSIBILITY TO PERFORM)

The parties to a contract resulting from this RFP are not liable for the consequences of any failure to perform, or default in performing, any of its obligations under the contract, if that failure or default is caused by any unforeseeable Force Majeure, beyond the control of, and without the fault or negligence of, the respective party.

For the purposes of this RFP, Force Majeure will mean war (whether declared or not); revolution; invasion; insurrection; riot; civil commotion; sabotage; military or usurped power; lightning; explosion; fire; storm; drought; flood; earthquake; epidemic; quarantine; strikes; acts or restraints of governmental authorities affecting the project or directly or indirectly prohibiting or restricting the furnishing or use of materials or labor required; inability to secure materials, machinery, equipment or labor because of priority, allocation or other regulations of any governmental authorities.

Sec. 6.24 DISPUTES

A contract resulting from this RFP is governed by the laws of the State of Alaska. If the contractor has a claim arising in connection with the agreement that it cannot resolve with the State by mutual agreement, it shall pursue the claim, if at all, in accordance with the provisions of AS 36.30.620 - AS 36.30.632. To the extent not otherwise governed by the preceding, the claim shall be brought only in the Superior Court of the State of Alaska and not elsewhere.

Sec. 6.25 SEVERABILITY

If any provision of the contract or agreement is declared by a court to be illegal or in conflict with any law, the validity of the remaining terms and provisions will not be affected; and the rights and obligations of the parties will be construed and enforced as if the contract did not contain the particular provision held to be invalid.

Sec. 6.26 SUPPLEMENTAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Proposals must comply with Section 6.08 Right of Rejection. However, if the state fails to identify or detect supplemental terms or conditions that conflict with those contained in this RFP or that diminish the state's rights under any contract resulting from the RFP, the term(s) or condition(s) will be considered null and void. After award of contract:

If conflict arises between a supplemental term or condition included in the proposal and a term or condition of the RFP, the term or condition of the RFP will prevail; and

If the state's rights would be diminished as a result of application of a supplemental term or condition included in the proposal, the supplemental term or condition will be considered null and void.

Sec. 6.27 SOLICITATION ADVERTISING

Public notice has been provided in accordance with 2 AAC 12.220.

Sec. 6.28 FEDERALLY IMPOSED TARIFFS

Changes in price (increase or decrease) resulting directly from a new or updated federal tariff, excise tax, or duty, imposed after contract award may be adjusted during the contract period or before delivery into the United States via contract amendment.

- Notification of Changes: The contractor must promptly notify the procurement officer in writing of any new, increased, or decreased federal excise tax or duty that may result in either an increase or decrease in the contact price and shall take appropriate action as directed by the procurement officer.
- After-imposed or Increased Taxes and Duties: Any federal excise tax or duty for goods or services covered by this contract that was exempted or excluded on the contract award date but later imposed on the contractor during the contract period, as the result of legislative, judicial, or administrative action may result in a price increase provided:
 - a) The tax or duty takes effect after the contract award date and isn't otherwise addressed by the contract.
 - b) The contractor warrants, in writing, that no amount of the newly imposed federal excise tax or duty or rate increase was included in the contract price, as a contingency or otherwise.
- After-relieved or Decreased Taxes and Duties: The contract price shall be decreased by the amount of any decrease in federal excise tax or duty for goods or services under the contract, except social security or other employment taxes, that the contractor is required to pay or bear, or does not obtain a refund of, through the contractor's fault, negligence, or failure to follow instructions of the procurement officer.
- State's Ability to Make Changes: The state reserves the right to request verification of federal excise tax or duty amounts on goods or services covered by this contract and increase or decrease the contract price accordingly.
- **Price Change Threshold:** No adjustment shall be made in the contract price under this clause unless the amount of the adjustment exceeds \$250.

SECTION 7. ATTACHMENTS

Sec. 7.1 ATTACHMENTS

Attachments:

1) Sample Standard Agreement Form

(Sample Contract)

- Submittal Form A Offeror Certifications
 (*RFP 240000018 02 V A01 Submittal Form A Offeror Certifications*)⁴
- Submittal Form B Experience and Qualifications
 (*RFP 240000018_03 Submittal Form B Experience and Qualifications*)
- 4) Submittal Form C Understanding of the Project
 (*RFP 240000018_04 Submittal Form C Understanding of the Project*)
- Submittal Form D Methodology Used for the Project
 (*RFP 240000018_05 Submittal Form D Methodology Used for the Project*)
- 6) Submittal Form E Management Plan Used for the Project
 (*RFP 240000018_06 Submittal Form E Management Plan Used for the Project*)
- 7) Submittal Form F Subcontractors(*RFP 240000018_07 Submittal Form F Subcontractors*)
- 8) Submittal Form G Cost Total Amount

(RFP 240000018_08 Submittal Form G Cost Total Amount)

¹AMENDMENT 01 REVISION: §1.03(1) has been replaced with "Offeror must have been successfully developing similar websites for the last five years."

²AMENDMENT 01 REVISION: §1.03(2) has been replaced with "Lead Service Manager must have been managing similar professional website design and development projects for the last five years."

³AMENDMENT 01 REVISION: "since 2018..." has been inserted into §1.03(3).

⁴AMENDMENT 01 REVISION: Attachment 02 has been replaced with an updated form because of the changes to §1.03.